

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/595,153	03/07/2006	Willibald Schurz	078857.0168	3418	
31625 BAKER BOT	7590 10/22/200 TS I. I. P	EXAMINER			
PATENT DEPARTMENT			ROSENAU, E	ROSENAU, DEREK JOHN	
98 SAN JACII AUSTIN, TX	NTO BLVD., SUITE 15 78701-4039	500	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11001111, 111	70701 1055		2834		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/22/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/595,153		SCHURZ ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Derek J. Rosenau	2834		

	Derek J. Rosenau	2834					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> </ul>	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		26(a) and the appropriat	o ovtonoion foo				
Extensions of little ring be busined united 37 CFR.1.136(a). The description have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR.1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR.1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp							
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter			appeal. Since				
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	thin the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.37(a).					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cauca				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying to	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•					
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							
/Quyen P Leung/							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2834							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Heinz does not disclose that one side of the profiled sheets has first and second surfaces spaced at intervisis from one another. However, Heinz discloses first and second contact surfaces, both extending from the bottom side of the profiled sheets (Fig. 1, 3, 5, or 6). Applicant argues that Schurz does not disclose a cage material. However, the adhesive 14 in figure 3 of Heinz can be interpreted as a cage material. Applicant argues that the adhesive of Schurz is not a plastic cage material. However, it is Schuh et al. that teaches the plastic cage material. Schurz et al. is cited to show that it is known to fix profiled sheets to the external surface of a cage material formed around a piezocerul element. Applicant argues that Schurz does not disclose that the profiled sheets to that the profiled sheets to show that provide the surface of a plastic cage material or that the piezoceramic is positioned in a cavity of the plastic cage, and it is Schurz that is cited to show the plastic cage and the piezoceramic being positioned in a cavity of the plastic cage, and it is Schurz that is cited to show the plastic place.